

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/303,343	04/30/1999	MOHAMED ANISUR RAHMAN	RAHMAN-6 8223 EXAMINER	
30594	7590 07/12/2005			
HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.			TRAN, PHUC H	
P.O. BOX 8910 RESTON, VA 20195			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2666	
			DATE MAILED: 07/12/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		R			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summers	09/303,343	RAHMAN, MOHAMED ANISUR			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	PHUC H. TRAN	2666			
Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the C	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>25 Ap</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. ice except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1,4-7 and 9-20 is/are pending in the apole day of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,4-7 and 9-20 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	n from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the description of the description of the correction of the output o	epted or b) objected to by the large of the large of the large of the large of the drawing of th	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Applicati ty documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	(PTO-413) ate atent Application (PTO-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 7, 9-15 and 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Jayapalan (U.S. Patent No. 5533019).
- With respect to claims 1, 9, 12-15, and 18-20, Jayapalan teaches a method of communicating between a wireless unit (1 and 5 in Fig. 1) and a packet data network (23 in Fig. 1), comprising the steps of:

establishing a circuit switched link between the wireless unit and a base station (bridge paragraph between col. 2-3);

sending a setup packet over a circuit switched link (col. 4, lines 38-42; col. 6, lines 56-58) between the wireless unit and a base station to establish a data session (e.g. block 5 and 10 in Fig. 1) between the wireless unit and the packet data network (block 5 and 23 in Fig. 1);

sending data packets for the data session over a packet switch link between the wireless unit and the packet data network on a wireless resource (e.g. block 5 to block 15 to 23 in Fig. 1) that has been temporarily allocated in response to a request for a wireless resource to send data packet for the data session (e.g. the digital image sends through the Internet is temporarily for reducing the load and the cost to the mobile subscriber).

wherein TCP/IP is used as the transport protocol for the packet data network and the setup packet is a TCP setup packet sent on one or more voice frames over the already established circuit switched link (col. 4, lines 55-56).

- With respect to claim 7, Jayapalan also teach maintaining the circuit switched link for at least the duration of voices call on the circuit switched link (e.g. the parallel transmission between the wireless units e.g. Fig. 1 shows the digital image and voice call are parallel).
- With respect to claims 10, and 11, Jayapalan teaches wherein the step of sending data packets further includes: sending data packets for the data session on a reverse/forward switched link from the wireless unit to a base station (e.g. block 6 and 7 in Fig. 1).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 4-6 and 16-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jayapalan et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5533019) in view of Forslow (U.S. Patent No. 6608832).
- With respect to claims 4-5, and 16-17, Jayapalan discloses all the aspect of the claimed invention as set forth above but fails to teach multiplexing the setup packet with a traffic frame over the circuit switched link. Forslow discloses the same or similar field of endeavor teaches multiplexing the setup packet with a traffic frame over the circuit switched link (col. 4, lines 56-58; col. 12, lines 11-14). Thus, it would have been obvious to a person ordinary skill in the art at

the time of the invention was made to use the multiplexing step as taught by Forslow in the wireless communication network. The multiplexing step can be implemented by placing the multiplexing step at the wireless unit to multiplex the setup packet, the traffic frame and voice frame over the circuit switched link. The motivation for using the multiplexing step of Forslow in the wireless communication network for faster setup and reducing the load for a subscriber.

- With respect to claim 6, Jayapalan also teach maintaining the circuit switched link for at least the duration of a voice call on the circuit switched link (e.g. the parallel transmission between the wireless units e.g. Fig. 1 shows the digital image and voice call are parallel).

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 4-7, & 9-20 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- Penners et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5793762) discloses system and method for providing packet data and voice services to mobile subscribers.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to PHUC H TRAN whose telephone number is (571) 272-3172. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8-4:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, RAO SEEMA can be reached on (571) 272-3174. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2666

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Phuc Tran Assistant Examiner Art Unit 2664

P.t July 8, 2005

DANG TON